

# ON $(z, x, y)$ SUMMABILITY OF JACOBI SERIES

**Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Saxena**

Associate Professor

N.M.S.N. Das (P.G.) College, Budaun-243601

- [1] 1. Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given series with the sequence of partial sums  $\{\delta_n\}$ . Let  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  be a sequence of constants, such that

$$\begin{aligned}(x^*y)_n &= x_0y_n + x_1y_{n-1} + \cdots x_ny_0 \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n x_{n-m}y_m\end{aligned}$$

Let sequence to sequence transformation, be

$$t_n = \frac{1}{(x^*y)_n} \sum_{m=0}^n x_{n-m}y_m \delta_m \quad (1.1)$$

The series  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m$  is said to be summable  $(z, x, y)$  to  $\delta$  if  $t_n \rightarrow \delta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We shall denote it by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m = \delta(z, x, y)$$

or

$$\delta_n \rightarrow \delta(z, x, y).$$

We shall also use the notations

$$t_n = \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{m=0}^n d_{n-m} y_m \delta_m$$

where

$$d_n = \Delta x_n = x_n - x_{n-m},$$

$$D_n = \sum_{m=0}^n \Delta x_m y_{n-m}.$$

[1.2] Let  $f(\phi) = f(\cos \phi)$ ,  $\phi \in [0, \pi]$  be a Lebesgue measurable function such that

$$\int_0^\pi f(\phi) R_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \phi) \sin \phi^{2\alpha+1} \cos \phi^{2\beta+1} d\phi$$

$$\alpha > -1, \beta > -1$$

exist, where  $R_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \phi)$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Jacobi polynomial of order  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .

The Fourier Jacobi series associated with this function is

$$\hat{f}(n) \sim \int_0^\pi f(\phi) h_n R_n(\cos \phi) d\phi \quad (1.2.1)$$

where

$$\hat{f}(n) = \int_0^\pi f(\phi) R_n(\cos \phi) d\mu(\phi)$$

and

$$h_n = \left\{ \int_0^\pi R_n \cos^2 d\mu(\phi) \right\}^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{(n + \beta + 1)\Gamma(n + \alpha)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)}$$

$$R_n(\cos \phi) = x_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \phi)/x_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)$$

and

$$d\mu(\phi) = (\sin \phi/2)^{2\alpha+1}(\cos \phi/2)^{2\beta+1} d\phi$$

ASKEY and WAINER [1] have defined the convolution structure of two function  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in the following manner.

$$(f_1^* f_2)\phi = \int f_1(\phi)(T_\theta f_2(\phi))d\mu\phi$$

where the generalized translation  $T_\theta$  is defined by

$$T_\theta f(\phi) = \int f(\psi)K(\phi, \theta, \psi)d\mu(\psi),$$

when

$$\int R_n(\cos \psi)K(\phi, \theta, \psi) = R_n(\cos \phi)R_n(\cos \psi)$$

and

$$\int K(\phi, \theta, \psi)d\mu = 1.$$

**[1.3]** Let

$$\delta_n(\phi) = \sum_{m=0}^n \hat{f}(m)h_m P_m(\cos \phi)$$

$$= \sum \int_0^\pi f(\theta) h_m P_m(\cos \phi) P_m(\cos \theta) d\mu \theta$$

Now using the orthogonal property, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(\phi) - f(\phi) &= \sum \{f(\theta) - f(\phi)\} d\mu(\theta) \times \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\pi K(\phi, \theta, \mu) P_n(\cos \psi) d\mu(\psi) \\ &= \int_0^\pi L_n \omega_f(\psi) R_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\cos \psi) d\mu \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3.1)$$

where

$$\omega_f(\psi) = T_\psi(f) - f \quad (1.3.2)$$

and

$$L_n = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \sim n^{\alpha+1}$$

Therefore, we have

$$T_n - f(\phi)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{(x^*y)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \{\delta_{n+k}(\phi) - f(\phi)\} dk \\ &= \frac{1}{(x^*y)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n d_k L_{n-k} \int_0^\pi \omega(\psi) P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\cos \psi) d\psi \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega(\psi) = \omega_f(\cos \psi)(\sin \psi/2)^{2\alpha+1}(\cos \psi/2)^{2\beta+1} \quad (1.3.3)$$

## INTRODUCTION

[1.4] In 1985 BEOHAR[2] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem A :** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a non-negative and non-increasing such that  $\{x_n n^{-(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}\}$  is increasing.

if

$$\int_t^\delta \frac{|\omega(\psi)| P_{(1/\psi)}) d\psi}{\psi^{(\alpha+3)/2}} = O(P_{(1/t)} t^{(\alpha+1)/2}),$$

where

$$\psi = [1/t]$$

and

$$\int_0^{1/n} |\omega_f(\pi - \psi)| \psi^{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} d\psi = O(1) \quad (1.4.1)$$

then for  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}, \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , the series

$$t_n = \frac{1}{R_n} \sum_{m=0}^n t_m \delta_{n-m}$$

is summable  $(z, x_n)$  to  $f(\phi)$

Nörlund summability of the series  $f(\phi) \sim \sum \hat{f}(n) h_n P_n(\cos \phi)$  at the end point has been studied by GUPTA [3] PANDEY AND BEOHAR [4] BEOHAR AND MISHRA [5] and ASKEY AND

WAINGER [6] have applied the convolution structure formula and studied the series for the entire range  $Q$  i.e.  $[0, \pi]$ .

In present paper we study the above theorem for  $(z, x, y)$  summability of the series (1.4.1) by applying the convolution structure formula.

What follows, we prove the following:

### 1.5 THEOREM

Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be non-negative and non-increasing such that  $\{(x^*y)_n n^{-(\alpha+1/2)}\}$  is increasing.

If

$$\int_t^s \frac{|\omega(\psi)| (x^*y)\left(\frac{1}{\psi}\right) d\psi}{\psi^{\alpha+3/2}} = O\left((x^*y)_{\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} t^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \quad (1.5.1)$$

$$\psi = \left[\frac{1}{t}\right]$$

and

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |\omega_f(\pi - \psi)| \psi^{\beta-1/2} d\psi = o(1). \quad (1.5.2)$$

then, for  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  the series (1.2 · 1) is summable  $(z, x, y)$  to  $f(\phi)$ .

**[1.6]** For the proof of the theorem, we require the following Lemmas.

**LEMMA 1 :** Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be real and  $C$  a constant, then

$$Z_n(\psi) = \frac{1}{(x^*y)_n} \sum D_k L_{n-k} P_{n-k}^{(\alpha+1, \beta)}(\cos\Psi).$$

$$Z_n(\psi) = O(n^{2\alpha+2}) \text{ for } 0 \leq \psi \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (1.6.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n(\psi) &= O\left(\frac{n^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}}{(x^*y)_n}\right) \left[ \frac{(x^*y)_{[\frac{1}{t}]}}{(\sin \frac{\Psi}{2})^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} (\cos \frac{\Psi}{2})^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}}} \right] + \\ &\quad + O\left(n^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\right) \left[ \left(\sin \frac{\Psi}{2}\right)^{\frac{-\alpha-5}{2}} \left(\cos \frac{\Psi}{2}\right)^{\frac{-\beta-3}{2}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for  $\frac{1}{n} \leq \psi \leq \pi n$ .

### PROOF OF THE LEMMA 1:

We know that,  $0 \leq \psi \leq \frac{1}{n}$

$$x_n^{\alpha+1,\beta}(\cos \psi) = O(n^{\alpha+1})$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n(\Psi) &= O\left(\frac{1}{(x^*y)_n}\right) \sum_{k=0}^n (n-k)^{\alpha+1} (n-k)^{\alpha+1} D_k \\ &= O\left(\frac{n^{2\alpha+2}}{(x^*y)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n D_k\right) \\ &= O(n^{2\alpha+2}) \end{aligned}$$

**LEMMA 2:** The condition (1.5.1), implies that

$$\int_0^t |\omega(\psi)| d\psi = O(t^{2\alpha+2}) \quad (1.6.3)$$

## PROOF OF THE LEMMA 2:

Implies that condition (1.5.1)

$$\int_0^t |\omega(\psi)| (x^*y)_{\left[\frac{1}{\psi}\right]} = O\left((x^*y)(t)_{1/t} t^{2\alpha+2}\right)$$

But the integral on the left hand side

$$\geq (x^*y)_{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]} \int_0^t |\omega(\psi)| d\psi$$

Therefore, (1.6.3) is proved

## 1.7 PROOF OF THE THEOREM:

we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_n - f(\phi) &= \int_0^\pi Z_n(\psi) \omega(\psi) d\psi \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\pi - \frac{1}{n}} + \int_{\pi - \frac{1}{n}}^\pi \right\} Z_n(\psi) \omega(\psi) d\psi \end{aligned}$$

say =  $A_1 + A_2 + A_3$

where

$$A_1 = \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} O(n^{2\alpha+2}) |\omega(\psi)| d\psi$$

by (1.6.1)

$$= O(n^{2\alpha+2})O(\psi^{2\alpha+2})_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ = O(1). \quad (1.7.1)$$

Next, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\pi-\frac{1}{n}} O\left(\frac{n^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(x^*y)_n}\right) |(\omega(\psi))|(x^*y)_{[\frac{1}{\psi}]} \Bigg) / \\ &\quad / \left(\sin \frac{\psi}{2}\right)^{\alpha+3/2} \left(\cos \frac{\psi}{2}\right)^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot d\psi + \\ &\quad + O(n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\pi-\frac{1}{n}} |\omega(\psi)| \left(\sin \frac{\psi}{2}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{5}{2}} \left(\cos \frac{\psi}{2}\right)^{-\beta-\frac{3}{2}}) d\psi \\ &= O\left(\frac{n^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{(x^*y)_n}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\pi-\frac{1}{n}} \frac{1}{\psi^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{\omega'(\psi)(x^*y)}{\psi} \left(\frac{1}{\psi}\right) d\psi + \\ &\quad + o\left(n^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\pi-\frac{1}{n}} \frac{1}{\psi^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}} \omega'(\psi) d\psi. \\ &= O(1) \text{ by (1.5.1)} \quad (1.7.2) \end{aligned}$$

Also, we get

$$A_3 = O(1) \text{ by (1.5.2)} \quad (1.7.3)$$

Thus the theorem is proved.

**Remark:** For  $y_n = 1$ , our theorem reduces to BEOHAR [7]

## REFERENCES

- [1] ASKEY, A. AND : A Convolution structure for  
WAINGER, S. Jacobi Polynomial; Amer. J.  
Math. (1969) 463-485.
- [2] BEOHAR, B.K. : On Nörlund summability of  
Jacobi series Vikram Mathematia  
Jour. val. V. 47-52 (1985).
- [3] GUPTA, D.P. : D.Sc. Thesis of Allahahad  
Univerity (U.P.) (1973).
- [4] PANDEY, G.S. AND : Nörlund summability of Jacobi  
BEOHER, B.K. series Indian, Jour. Pure, Appl.  
Maths. 501-509 (1978).
- [5] BEOHAR, B.K. : Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan  
AND MISHRA, K.K. Patrika 37-42 (1980).